Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	Key Decision	Significant	Administrative		
		Operational Decisi	on Decision		
Approximate	Below £500,000	below £25,000	below £25,000		
value	£500,000 to £1,000,000	∑ £25,000 to £100	,000 🗌 £25,000 to £100,000		
	over £1,000,000	100,000 to £50	0,000		
		Over £500,000			
Director ¹	Director of City Developme	Director of City Development			
Contact person:	Lynsey McGarvey	Tele	phone number:		
		011:	3 3784157		
Subject ² :	School Streets Evaluation	of Phases 1 and 2 a	nd Recommendations for Future		
	Phases				
Decision	What decision has been ta	ken?			
details ³ :	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including				
	decisions in relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.)				
	The Chief Officer (Highway	(c. & Transportation)	is asked to approve the		
			is asked to approve the		
	recommendations to:				
	a) Noted the contents) Noted the contents of this report			
	 b) Approved the Schoor provided as Appen 	ol Streets Evaluation Report and its recommendations dix 1.			
	c) Reconfirmed the ol	pjectives of School S	jectives of School Streets in Leeds as:		
	about the selection it is recommended the final decision	et up a School Streets Steering Group to make to make key decisions out the selection and prioritisation of future School Street sites (note that is recommended that Leeds City Council's Highways Board will remain e final decision-making body for policy decisions relating to School reets including annual monitoring).			
	for future School	osed three-stage selection and prioritisation process Streets in Leeds. This can then be applied fully to the 3 School Streets in Leeds.			
	-	nual funding programme and source of funding for on of School Streets. Options may include:			
	a. Schools self-funded (as per school crossing patrol process)				

 ¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.
 ² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list ³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	b Diagoning contributions actimated assts sould be included in the		
	 Planning contributions – estimated costs could be included in the annual publication of H&T fees and charges) 		
	g) Considered and agree the need for additional (dedicated) staffing resource to oversee the implementation of future School Streets. School Travel Plan review fees could fund an SO2 FTE post.		
	 h) Confirmed the preferred legal Traffic Regulation Order instrument to be used for future School Streets in Leeds: Experimental Traffic Regulation Order ((E)TRO), then full Traffic Regulation Order or straight into full TROs. 		
	 Approved a recommendation to source and trial folding School Streets signs to overcome the need for and expense of 'sign-bagging' during school holidays as the TRO applies all year round. 		
	 j) Acknowledged the current limitations on enforcing School Streets restrictions. Instruct further work to agree how School Streets will be enforced in Leeds with and without potential additional Section 6 powers. 		
	 k) Confirmed Leeds City Councils' intention to apply to the Secretary of State for Transport, DfT for a Designation Order under Part 6 of the Traffic Management Act 2004. This would designate Leeds City Council as an enforcement authority with moving traffic enforcement powers in Leeds, so that civil enforcement of moving traffic contraventions, including prescribed traffic signs for use at School Street schemes can be undertaken. 		
	 Agreed an ongoing evaluation and monitoring programme for School Streets installed in Leeds and the resourcing of it. 		
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)		
	School Streets Trials were implemented in Leeds in two Phases by Temporary		
	Traffic Regulation Orders (TTROs), six from the 1st June 2020 and a further eight		
	from 5th September 2020. Feedback has been monitored throughout the trial and an evaluation involving surveys of staff, families and residents, traffic data surveys		
	and interviews with delivery partners took place between June – December 2021.		
	Active Travel Funds have been allocated by WYCA for further School Streets		
	(Phase 3) in Leeds. Lessons learnt and recommendations from the evaluation of		
	Phases 1 and 2 need to be applied to any future delivery to ensure that future		
	schemes are as successful as possible and in the right locations.		

	 Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision. The alternative would be discontinuing the implementation of School Streets in Leeds and continuing to address school gate parking issues with other parking 			
	restrictions and travel behaviour change interventions.			
Affected wards:	All depending on the location of future School Street schemes			
Details of	Executive Member:			
consultation	Councillor Helen Hayden 25.11.22			
undertaken ⁴ :				
	Ward Councillors:			
	Summary results of the evaluation study were presented to the Community			
	Committee Children's Champions meeting on 27 th Jul attended by:			
	Cllr Hussain, Cllr Venner, Cllr Hayden, Cllr Gruen, Cllr Scopes, Cllr Carlill, Cllr			
	Kidger, Cllr Forsaith, Cllr Stephenson, Cllr Flynn, Cllr Almass, Cllr Bithell			
	Chief Digital and Information Officer ⁵			
	Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer ⁶			
	Others:			
	Traffic Engineering (Nick Hunt),			
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation			
	Lynsey McGarvey – Principal Transport Planner			
List of	Date Added to List:-			
Forthcoming				
Key Decisions ⁷	List of Phase 3 School Street Sites			
	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision			
	An approved selection/prioritisation methodology, funding, resourcing and delivery plan are essential if we are to learn from the lessons leant and esperiences of implementing phases 1 and 2 School Streets.			

 ⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.
 ⁵ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of

digital technology ⁶ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's

land and buildings. ⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval						
	Signature		Date				
Publication of report ⁸	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:						
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval						
	Signature Date						
Call In	Is the decision available ⁹ for call-in?	Yes		🖾 No			
	If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:						
Approval of	Authorised decision maker ¹⁰						
Decision	Gary Bartlett, Chief Officer – Highways and Transportation						
	Signature		Date				
	GJBartlett.	8	16.11.2022				

 ⁸ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only
 ⁹ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.
 ¹⁰ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.